MARATHON COUNTY METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION’S
TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

APRIL 9, 2019
1:00 P.M.

COMMISSION PURPOSE: The Commission shall be concerned with studies and recommendations relating to activities including but not limited to land-use; natural resources; utilities; and transportation systems within the metropolitan planning area. (Commission Bylaws last updated: 6-12-08)

TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC): Gaylene Rhoden, Randy Fifrick, Tim Vergara, Keith Donner, Jeff Gates, Mark Thout, Brad Lenz, Rebecca Frisch, Dave Mack, Andrew Lynch, Darryl Landeau, Jim Griesbach, Greg Seubert, Richard Downey, Christopher Johnson, Scott Turner, Keith Rusch, Brian Grefe, Eric Lindman, David Eckmann, James Kuehn, David Meurett, Gary Olsen

Agenda Items:

1. CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS;
2. PUBLIC COMMENT;

Policy Discussion and Possible Action:

3. APPROVE MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 26, 2019 TRANSPORTATION TAC MEETING;
4. SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT SELECTION CRITERIA;
5. NEXT MEETING DATE – MAY 14, 2019;
6. ADJOURN.

Any person planning to attend this meeting who needs some type of special accommodation in order to participate should call the COUNTY CLERK’S office at 261-1500. For TDD telephone service, call the EMPLOYEE RESOURCES DEPARTMENT at 261-1453.

SIGNED

PRESIDING OFFICER OR DESIGNEE
NOTICE POSTED AT COURTHOUSE:

FAXED TO: Daily Herald (848-9361) City Pages (848-5887)
Mid-west Radio Group (848-3158) TPP Printing (223-3505)
FAXED BY: BI
FAX DATE/TIME: 04/02/2019 12:35 pm
Transportation Technical Advisory Committee: Dave Mack, Steve Kunst (for Gaylene Rhoden), Jeff Gates, Brad Lenz, Tim Vergara, Dave Meurett, Kevin Lang, Mike Wodalski (for Keith Donner), Eric Lindman

Others: Andrew Lynch, Brenda Iczkowski, Tonia Speener

1. **Call to Order / Introductions**
   The presence of a quorum, the agenda being properly signed and posted, the meeting was called to order by Mack for Chairperson Frisch at 1:05 p.m., Large Conference Room, 210 River Drive, Wausau, Wisconsin.

2. **Approve Minutes of the January 8, 2019 Transportation TAC meeting**
   **Action:** MOTION / SECOND BY VERGARA / KUNST TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE JANUARY 8, 2019 MEETING. MOTION CARRIED BY VOICE VOTE, NO DISSENT.

   **Transportation Block Grant Selection Criteria**
   **Discussion:** Lynch explained the criteria options included in the meeting packet. The criteria are used to determine the distribution of the STB-G funds. The committee discussed the recommendations and offered suggestions on the criteria. Staff worked to incorporate the State DOT performance measure goals into this effort. The following were reviewed and suggestions included.
   - Key Component of Transportation – ADT considerations and added growth
   - System Condition - use the existing PASER rating points
   - Project Coordination - combine with other projects or communities
   - Safety – access points (bicycle pedestrian safety)
   - Congestion – Use the traffic model maintained by WisDOT.
   - Freight – state performance measures using the last mile to your destination.
   - Bicycle and Pedestrian – complies with federal regulations for filling gaps or network bicycle pedestrian plan and address the significant need.

   **Action:** NONE AT THIS TIME.
   **Follow Through:** Staff to run scenarios with projects from previous years and report on needed scoring adjustments. Recommend the scoring criteria for future funding.

3. **Next Meeting Date** – To be Determined.
   **Action:** No Action Needed.
   **Follow Through:** None needed at this time.

4. **Adjourn**
   There being no further business, **MOTION / SECOND BY KUNST / LANG TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 1:59 PM. MOTION CARRIED VOICE VOTE, NO DISSENT.**

Submitted by:
David Mack, MPO Director
For Rebecca Frisch, MPO TAC Chair
Marathon County Conservation, Planning and Zoning
BI
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Scoring</th>
<th>Max Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Key Component of System</td>
<td>Functional Classification</td>
<td>Map</td>
<td>Arterials = 2, Collector = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional/Local Impact</td>
<td>Worksheet</td>
<td>Regional = 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2 System Condition | PASER/DOT SCORING | Our butts/DOT Application | <5 Score = 2  
5-7 Score = 1  
>7 Score = 0 | 2 |
| 3 Project Coordination | Communities work together | Application | Coord = 2, No Coord = 0 | 2 |
| 4 Safety | Serious/Fatal Crash Rate average of 5 years. | TOPS | Highest incidence  
Same profile = 0, Some improvements = 1, Major improvements = 2  
Any reported crashes = 1 | 2 |
| | How does project create safer conditions? | Worksheet | Same profile = 0, Some improvements = 1, Major improvements = 2 | 2 |
| | B/P crash incidence | TOPS | Any reported crashes = 1 | 1 |
| 5 Congestion | LOS Current condition | DOT Traffic Model | D = 2, C = 1, B-A = 0 | 2 |
| | Local testimony | Worksheet | Max of 1 point | 1 |
| 6 Freight | Within 1 mile of freeway system or interchange | Map | Yes = 1 | 1 |
| | Facilitates access to industrial or concentrated commercial area | Map and Worksheet | Yes = 1 | 1 |
| 7 B/P | Complies w/Fed Regs on accommodations | Worksheet: describe facilities | Provides context-sensitive accommodations = 1, No accommodations = 0 | 1 |
| | Fills gaps or improves existing network. | MPO Bike Plan | Project is identified in Plan = 2  
Project is noted in the Plan as a priority project or this is noted as a significant need not addressed in the Plan. | 2 |
| | Addresses a significant need or a Priority Project. | Worksheet + MPO Bike Plan | | |
| 8 Environmental Impact | Isn't the DNR already doing this? | | |
| 9 Environmental Justice | No negative Social or Community Effects | | No Negative impact on livability = 2, Some Negative impact = 1, Major impact = 0 | 2 |
| | define social or community effects? | | 2 |
MPO Worksheet for STBG Scoring - Draft

1 Key Component of System
   Describe the regional impact your project will have.

4 Safety
   Describe how this project will create safer conditions for users and address any issues from crash data or local feedback.

5 Congestion
   Describe any points of congestion that cause concern and are not displayed on the traffic model.

6 Freight
   Describe how this project will facilitate freight access to an industrial or concentrated commercial area.

7 Bicycle and Pedestrian
   Describe in detail the bicycle and pedestrian facilities designed for this project.
   Describe how this project addresses a significant need in bicycle or pedestrian infrastructure that is not addressed in the MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan.