LAND CONSERVATION AND ZONING COMMITTEE
AGENDA

Date & Time of Meeting: Tuesday, April 3, 2018 at 12:30 p.m.
Meeting Location: Large Conference Room, 210 River Drive, Wausau 54403

Land Conservation and Zoning Committee Members: James Seefeldt - Chair; Jacob Langenhahn - Vice-chair; Jean Maszk, Sandi Cihlar, Rick Seefeldt, Chris Voll, Kelly King - FSA Member

Marathon County Mission Statement: Marathon County Government serves people by leading, coordinating, and providing county, regional, and statewide initiatives. It directly or in cooperation with other public and private partners provides services and creates opportunities that make Marathon County and the surrounding area a preferred place to live, work, visit, and do business.

Conservation, Planning and Zoning Department’s Mission Statement: To protect our community's land and environment because the economic strength and vitality of our community is dependent on the quality of our resources. Through leadership, accountability, community engagement and collaborative partnerships we promote thoughtful and deliberate use of resources and innovative solutions to ensure Marathon County has healthy people, a healthy economy and a healthy environment today and tomorrow.

1. Call meeting to order
2. Request for silencing of cell phones and other electronic devices
3. 15 minute public comment
4. Approval of March 1, 2018 and March 6, 2018 LCZ Committee minutes
5. Public Hearings, possible action, possible recommendation to County Board for consideration
   A. Dennis Spindler – F-P Farmland Preservation to R-R Rural Residential – Town of Eau Pleine
   B. Mark Heeg – G-A General Agriculture to R-E Rural Estate – Town of Frankfort
   C. David & Danelle Ley - J & B Grezenski Enterprises LLC – G-A General Agriculture to R-R Rural Residential – Town of Knowlton
   D. Rob Hanson & Jeff Redetzke – Leffel Investments – R-R Rural Residential to N-C Neighborhood Commercial – Town of McMillan
      1. Request to postpone from the Town of McMillan
   E. Craig & Christine Polzin – F-P Farmland Preservation to R-R Rural Residential – Town of Eau Pleine
6. Review and possible action, possible recommendation to County Board for consideration
   A. Town of Emmet Zone change pursuant to §60.62(3) Wis. Stats.
   B. Four Mile Camp Preliminary Plat – Town of Mosinee
7. Policy discussion and possible action
8. Educational presentations / outcome monitoring reports, and possible action
   A. Wildlife Damage Abatement and Claims
      1. Wisconsin Turkey Donation 2018
   B. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and Farm Service Agency (FSA)
   C. Department of Natural Resources (DNR)
   D. Department Update (Daigle)
      1. Town of Knowlton meeting update
      2. Farmer to Farmer learning event
9. Announcements and Requests
10. Next meeting date, time & location; Agenda items/future topics:
    Future agenda items:
    Next regular meeting: Tuesday, May 1, 2018, 12:30 p.m., 210 River Drive
11. Adjournment

Any person planning to attend this meeting who needs some type of special accommodation in order to participate should call the County Clerk's Office at 715-261-1500 or e-mail infomarathon@mail.co.marathon.wi.us one business day before the meeting.

FAXED TO:
News Dept. at Daily Herald (848-9361), City Pages (848-5887), Midwest Radio Group (848-3158), Marshfield News (715 387-4175), TPP Printing (715 223-3505), CenterState Marketplace (715-446-2370)
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Date: March 29, 2018
Time: 8:00 a.m.
By: cek

News Dept. at Daily Herald (848-9361), City Pages (848-5887), Midwest Radio Group (848-3158), Marshfield News (715 387-4175), TPP Printing (715 223-3505), CenterState Marketplace (715-446-2370)

Date: March 29, 2018
Time: __________________________ a.m. / p.m.
By: County Clerk
1. **Call to order** – Called to order by Vice Chair Langenhahn at 4:00 p.m. at 500 Forest Street, Wausau, WI

2. **Request for silencing of all cellphones and other electronic devices.**

3. **Educational presentations**
   
   **A. Overview of Metallic Mining zoning code language development**
   
   **Discussion:** Rebecca Frisch and Scott Corbett gave an overview of the process beginning with introduction of legislation beginning in September 2017 and the direction from the Executive Committee (power point in meeting packet).
   
   **Action:** None, for informational purposes only.
   
   **Follow through:** None.

4. **Public Hearings**
   
   **A. Text Amendments to Marathon County General Code of Ordinances – Chapter 17 – Metallic Mining regulations**
   
   The following people gave testimony on the Metallic Mining Zoning Code text amendments.

   - **Jim Peterson – Medford WI:** Opposed the draft ordinance; believes the local agreement approach is the correct method to achieve compliance.
   - **John Robinson – County Board District 4 Wausau WI:** Look at the business needs of the county and ensure that costs of permitting and environmental impact costs are borne by the developer; ensure that the environment is protected and consistent with the Marathon County Strategic and Comprehensive plans; do not duplicate the responsibilities of the state; creation of a local impact committee is necessary.
   - **Alan Christianson – City Administrator, Ladysmith:** Ladysmith made a few mistakes and encouraged Marathon County to consider local agreements and how to handle mine assets after the mine closes.
   - **Eric Rempala – Irma WI:** No sulfide mine has been able to operate without causing pollution. Marathon County is responsible to protect the community. Need to go beyond 40 years for protection.
   - **Dan Southworth – Town of Easton Supervisor:** Thanked Committee and staff for time spent on this. Ordinance will help local communities before, during and after mine operation. Trust DNR to take care of studies.
   - **Bob Quick – Natural Resources Development Association:** Must understand the needs for the resources and economic development. Mines will address community concerns including environmental protection, permitting process is rigorous; local agreement is imperative; clean mining is a necessary endeavor.
   - **Allen Weinkauf – Integrity Grading & Excavating:** Mining is necessary to keep people employed, produce tax base and increase overall quality of life.
   - **Robert Pils – Schofield WI:** Consider the quality of life aspect when making decisions and the recreational benefits of the Eau Claire River area.
   - **Terry Mathie – Maple Hill Rd WI:** Chose not to speak
   - **Scott Davis – Schofield WI:** Flambeau Mine caused pollution; short lived economic gain; asked for a temporary hold on mines.
   - **Shannon Thielman – Town of Hewitt:** Appreciate work of LCZ and staff on the ordinance; with the decimation of DNR – we are on our own to regulate local impacts on our communities; environmental impacts of open pit mines including noise; 300 foot setback is not enough; encouraged regulating processing of mined materials to avoid negative environmental impacts; the financial portion of the local agreement is not adequate.
   - **Rita Pachal – Wausau WI:** Watershed where mine is located will be impacted; County Board needs to stand strong on the local control; clean water is necessary for this community.
   - **Kayleigh Christensen – Ringle WI:** Concerns about metallic mining; 300 foot setback is not adequate; how will impacts to property values be addressed; 40 years is not long enough; need to address the “what if’s” if the company...
files bankruptcy or the mine is sold; if the mine is sold is the conditional use permit transferred or terminated?

Allison Werner – River Alliance of Wisconsin: Encouraged Marathon County to take the strongest action to protect natural resources and the economy; supported the super majority requirement and groundwater trust fund; consider financial assurance for waste dumps, spills, failures, etc.

Jennifer Giegerich – Wisconsin League of Conservation Voters: Long term financial obligations may not be enough protection; if mine transfer occurs – consider reopening up local agreements to renegotiate and ensure compliance with agreed upon items.

Bill Davis – Sierra Club: Make local agreements as strong as possible; draw on language from other counties; bulk sampling needs to be addressed; wetlands impact is a concern.

Jeff Dix – Wausau WI: Strongly supported strengthening the regulations specifically for change of ownership and financial responsibility; setbacks are inadequate.

Kevin Williams – Earth Inc.: Need to ensure that these regulations do not overlap and affect non-metallic mining; eliminate duplicative reporting.

Bette Stevens – Wausau WI: Need to protect citizens if the mining company changes hands or goes bankrupt; 40 years is not enough; add protections for water during the bulk sampling phase.

Cindy Owen – League of Conservation Voters: Opposed the metallic mines in Marathon County; asks for careful decision making to ensure the resources are protected long term.

Katie Kloth – Wausau WI: Ordinance is not strong enough; setbacks need to be larger; would like moratorium on this type of mining in Marathon County; need to make it extremely expensive so that it is cost prohibitive for the companies to mine.

The following people provided written comment at the public hearing:

Stephen Rhyner – Sierra Club Member in Wausau: Upgrade local ordinance to assure environment is protected.

Sarah Snyder – Montpelier VT: Opposes mine

Bryon Barrington: Adopt state requirements that were removed that required mining companies to show the procedures they would use. Also require a fund that would keep the mining companies from declaring bankruptcy to escape any responsibility for pollution damage.

Al Hlavacheck - Wausau WI: Concerned about the pollution in the environment caused by mining including; dust, sulphites, traffic etc.

Tom Goltz – Wausau WI: In favor of a stronger bill.

The following people attended the public hearing but did not provide oral or written comments:


Written comments received via email prior to the public hearing:

Kyle Wollenzien – Aniwa WI: Concerned with the lasting damage to the Eau Claire Dells and River.

Paula Hill – Wausau WI: Concerned about the future health of the Eau Claire River, stronger financial protection for the cleanup of hazardous waste spills beyond 40 years; add protections if a company transfers their Conditional Use Permit (CUP); bulk sampling conditions; require new companies to apply for new permits and allow the right to refuse reassignment of the permit.

MS Hill: Restrictions on rolling over a permit to another company; responsibility for spills beyond 40 years; bulk sampling should be incorporated into the ordinance.

Ian Hill – Wausau, WI: Restrict mining and protect the natural ecosystem around Eau Claire Dells.

Alison Bennett – Wausau WI: Add stronger financial protections for the cleanup of hazardous waste spills beyond 40 years; add protections if a company transfers their CUP to another company; Add conditions for bulk sampling.

Catherine Wollenzien – Aniwa WI: Protect the Dells of Eau Claire

Jackie Peter – Wausau WI: Opposed to mining that affects water quality and wildlife habitat.

Kaylie Van Galder: Remember the citizens and even the slightest chance of negative environmental affecting our
properties is too much of a risk.

Melinda Cartledge: Opposing the mine and the effects on the environment, location and family.
Jamie Jozwiak Wausau WI: Consider the lasting damage that would be done to this area.
Kelsie Rodemeier: Consider the damage that will be done by this mining ordinance.

B. Closure /recess of hearing
Action: Vice Chair Langenhahn declared the public hearing closed at 5:28 p.m.

5. **Committee discussion, possible action, possible recommendation to County Board for consideration**

Discussion: Committee members discussed the next steps including staff review of the comments; specific items that the Committee would like the staff to address; need for additional public comment; and time constraints. Committee and staff discussed scheduling a special meeting in March and what if any items could be discussed at the regularly scheduled LCZ meeting on March 6th. Based on discussion, a special LCZ meeting was scheduled for March 29th, 2018. Metallic mining will be placed on the March 6th LCZ meeting agenda to discuss procedures of the March 29th meeting including specific items that the Committee would like staff to address and whether or not to take additional public comment. No decision on the mining ordinance will occur at the March 6th LCZ meeting.

Action: **Motion** by R. Seefeldt to postpone the revised metallic mining ordinance to the April LCZ meeting. After discussion, R. Seefeldt **withdrew** his motion.

Action: **Motion** / second by Maszk/Cihlar to recommend an additional special LCZ meeting on Thursday, March 29 at 12:30 p.m. Motion **carried** by voice vote, no dissent.

Action: **Motion** / second by Voll/ R. Seefeldt to postpone discussion until public hearing on metallic mining zoning code language. Motion **carried** by voice vote, no dissent.

Follow through: Staff will review all oral and written comments and report on major topics at the March 6th meeting. At March 6th meeting, committee will provide further direction on possible revisions and address the need for additional public comment at the special March 29, 2018 meeting.

6. **Adjourn** – **Motion** / second by Maszk / Voll to **adjourn** at 6:15 p.m. Motion **carried** by voice vote, no dissent.

Rebecca Frisch, CPZ Director
for Jacob Langenhahn, Vice Chair

cc: (via email/web site) LCZ members; County Administrator; Deputy County Administrator; Conservation, Planning, & Zoning; Corporation Counsel; County Clerk; County Board Members, DATCP, DNR, FSA, NRCS, USDA/APHIS
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Marathon County  
Land Conservation And Zoning Committee Minutes  
Tuesday, March 6, 2018  
212 River Drive, Room 5 Wausau WI

Attendance:  
Chair  
James Seefeldt ..................... X  
Jacob Langenhahn............... X  
Sandi Cihlar......................... X  
Kelly King ........................ X  
Jean Maszk ........................ X  
Rick Seefeldt ....................... X  
Chris Voll........................... X  

Vice-Chair  
Also present:  Paul Daigle, Rebecca Frisch, Dean Johnson, Lane Loveland, Cindy Kraeger, Diane Hanson – Conservation, Planning, and Zoning (CPZ); Scott Corbett – Corporation Counsel; Brian Kowalski, Wayne Kleinschmidt, Paula Hill, Leonard Martin, John Robinson, Katie Kloth and Kara Weir.

1. Call to order – Called to order by Chair Seefeldt at 12:30 p.m. at 212 River Drive, Wausau, WI

2. Request for silencing of all cellphones and other electronic devices.

3. 15 minute public comment - Wayne Kleinschmidt – Wausau WI: Brought in samples from Aquila and expressed concern about the location of the mining site near the Eau Claire River. Kleinschmidt distributed documents pertaining to mine sites and background information of the effects of metallic mining. Paula Hill: Would like restrictions on metallic mining, concerned about the impact on the environment and her children not wanting to return to the area if mining is allowed. Hill also shared pictures of the effects on water quality from a mining site in Telluride Colorado.

4. Approval of February 6, 2018 and February 27, 2018 minutes  
Motion / second by Langenhahn / Voll to approve of the February 6, 2018 and the February 27, 2018 minutes as distributed.  
Chair Seefeldt recommended a minor change to the February 27, 2018 minutes. Agenda Item 5 to be corrected to:  
Motion / second by R. Seefeldt / Langenhahn to adjourn at 5:34 p.m.  
Motion carried by voice vote, no dissent; minutes approved as amended.

5. Public Hearings, possible action, possible recommendation to County Board for consideration  
A. Marvin & Rosanna Martin – F-P Farmland Preservation to L- I Light Industrial and L-I – Light Industrial to F-P Farmland Preservation Town of Hull  
Discussion:  Johnson was sworn in, noting the decision sheet had been included in the meeting packet. The request is related to the rezone of a parcel that was approved at the October 2017 Land Conservation and Zoning Committee (LCZ) and the Marathon County Board. The request is to rezone back to the original F-P (Farmland Preservation) and request a new area directly east of that area to be rezoned to L-I (Light Industrial) due to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WI DNR) and Wisconsin Army Corp of Engineers locating wetlands on the first property. This designation will not allow them to construct the business. The Town of Hull has reviewed the application and recommends approval without any concerns. Testimony portion of the hearing was closed at 12:54 p.m.  
Action:  Motion / second by King / R. Seefeldt to recommend approval to County Board, of the Marvin & Rosanna Martin rezone request. Committee determined the rezone is consistent with the Marathon County and Town Comprehensive plans, and is in the Farmland Preservation Plan. Adequate facilities are present and providing public facilities will not burden local government. The rezone should not result in any adverse effect on natural areas.  
Motion carried by voice vote, no dissent.  
Follow through: Forward to County Board for action at their next regularly scheduled meeting.

B. Dennis Spindler – F-P Farmland Preservation to R-R Rural Residential – Town of Eau Pleine  
Discussion:  Johnson was sworn in, and clarified that no one was attending to represent the Spindler rezone, and CPZ staff did receive notification from the Town requesting an extension to postpone this rezone to the April LCZ Committee meeting.  
Action:  Motion / second by King / Voll to postpone the Dennis Spindler rezone request to the April LCZ Committee meeting.  
Follow through: Staff will bring back to April LCZ meeting.

C. Re-open Public Text Amendments to the Marathon County General Code of Ordinances - Chapter 17 (except for Metallic Mining – see number 6)  
Discussion:  Johnson summarized the changes to the General Code of Ordinances for Marathon County Chapter 17 Zoning Code and the memo dated March 1, 2018 that was included in the meeting packet. Johnson stated that CPZ staff recommends withdrawing the text amendments dealing with the non-metallic mining section, Section 17.204.54. Staff will be contacting non-metallic mining operators and will need more time to work with operators on the code
language. Testimony portion of the hearing was closed at 1:02 p.m.
Action: Motion / second by King/ Voll to approve the text amendment revisions.
Motion carried by voice vote, no dissent.
Follow through: Forward to County Board for action at their next regularly scheduled meeting.

6. **Review and possible action, possible recommendation County Board for consideration**

A. **Town of Mosinee – Susan Kwiesielewicz PIN# 058-2707-054-0995 Zone change pursuant to §60.62(3) Wis. Stats.**

Discussion: Town of Mosinee is independently zoned and submitted a request to rezone a parcel with a PIN # 058-2707-054-0995 from C1 (Public and Semi Public District) to R-1 (Single Family Residence). The zone change was approved at a joint meeting of the town board and planning commission on December 11, 2017. Although the town is independently zoned, County Board approval is still needed.

Action: Motion / second by King/ Voll to recommend approval to County Board, of the Town of Mosinee zone change. Motion carried by voice vote, no dissent.
Follow through: Forward to County Board for action at their next regularly scheduled meeting.

B. **Town of Emmet Zone change pursuant to §60.62(3) Wis. Stats.**

1. Harris Acres Subdivision
2. North Bayside and South Bayside Subdivision Lots 1-15
3. Properties on Rangeline Road – 116, 254, 266, 282, 298,306

Discussion: Town of Emmet is independently zoned and submitted a request to rezone Lots 1 - 5 in the Harris Acres Subdivision from AG1 to RS1; and Lots 1 -15 in the North Bayside and South Bayside Subdivision from AG1 to RS1; and rezone the following properties on Rangeline Road – 116, 254, 266, 282, 298, 306 from AG1 to RS1. The zone change was approved at the town board meeting on February 13, 2018. Although the town is independently zoned, County Board approval is still needed.

Action: Motion / second by King / Cihlar to recommend approval to County Board, of the Town of Emmet zone changes. Motion carried by voice vote, no dissent.
Follow through: Forward to County Board for action at their next regularly scheduled meeting.

7. **Policy discussion and possible action**

A. **Four Mile Camp Preliminary Plat – Town of Mosinee – Dan Higginbotham / Plover River Land Co.**

Discussion: Johnson discussed a Preliminary Plat was received but additional information is needed for a complete application; therefore recommends the committee postpone the approval of the Four Mile Camp Preliminary Plat Map.

Action: Motion / second by Maszk / R. Seefeldt to postpone the approval of the Four Mile Camp Preliminary Plat. Motion carried by voice vote, no dissent.
Follow through: Higginbotham will submit the Four Mile Camp Preliminary plan at a future meeting date.

B. **Metallic Mining**

1. Public Hearing Update: Report on oral and written comments received (Frisch and Corbett)

Discussion: Corbett discussed the memo that was included in the meeting packet regarding the public comments received and the direction given to staff at the March 1, 2018 public hearing. Corporation Counsel and CPZ staff met and reviewed the written and oral comments and developed a listing of topics that could be addressed by the LCZ committee at the special meeting on Thursday, March 29, 2018. Staff has not been directed to draft language to create a moratorium or make mining cost prohibitive or to create any restrictions so difficult to meet as to prohibit mining. If a prohibition is created this could draw legislative or court action to remove or reduce local ability to regulate metallic mining.

Action: None
Follow through: Frisch stated that staff will continue to review testimony and comments received at the March 1, 2018 public hearing.

2. Guidance to staff regarding revision to ordinance requested by March 29, 2018

Discussion: CPZ staff and Corporation Counsel will provide a memo relating to the setback and cost amounts along with more explanation on the Ground Water Trust Fund and blasting prior to March 29, 2018 meeting. The committee agreed that staff should focus on the 10 major topics identified in the March 6, 2018 memo to the committee from Frisch and Corbett and provide revised language for the March 29th meeting. The 6 additional topics identified in the memo would be addressed after March 29th.

Action: None
Follow through: Staff to provide memo and revised language to committee prior to March 29, 2018 meeting.

3. Need for additional public comment at time of special meeting on March 29, 2018
Discussion: The Committee had in depth discussion regarding public comment at the special March 29, 2018 meeting. Vice Chair Langenhahn recognized Wayne Kleinschmidt and Katie Kloth for additional comment. Kleinschmidt stated his concern about sulfide mining within Marathon County and Kloth expressed concern about the meeting time and is in favor of additional public comments.

Action: Motion / second by Voll / Cihlar to allow for additional public comment at the special meeting on Thursday, March 29, 2018.

Motion carried by roll call vote, 6 yes, 1 no (Langenhahn).

Follow through: The March 29, 2018 meeting will be noticed as a public hearing and draft revised language will be posted with the notice.

8. Educational presentations / outcome monitoring reports, and possible action
   A. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and Farm Service Agency (FSA) – no report
   B. Department of Natural Resources (DNR) – no report
   C. Department Update (Daigle)

   Discussion: Daigle informed the committee of the Heart of Wisconsin Grazing conference sponsored by the Department. It will be March 8th at Stoney Creek Inn and Conference center. The western Marathon County community engagement stakeholders are sponsoring their first event March 20th at the Town of Wien town hall.

   Action: None, for informational purposes only.

   Follow through: None

9. Announcements and Requests - none

10. Next meeting date, time & location

   Special Public Hearing, Thursday, March 29, 2018 12:30 p.m., Marathon County Courthouse, 500 Forest Street, Assembly Room, Wausau

   Next meeting Tuesday, April 3, 2018, 12:30 p.m., 210 River Drive, Wausau.

   Future agenda items: None

11. Adjourn – Motion / second by Voll / R. Seefeldt to adjourn at 2:44 p.m. Motion carried by voice vote, no dissent.

Rebecca Frisch, CPZ Director
for James Seefeldt, Chair

cc: (via email/web site) LCZ members; County Administrator; Deputy County Administrator; Conservation, Planning, & Zoning; Corporation Counsel; County Clerk; County Board Members, DATCP, DNR, FSA, NRCS, USDA/APHIS
Request:
The petition of Dennis Spindler to amend the Marathon County Zoning Ordinance to rezone lands from F-P-Farmland Preservation to R-R Rural Residential on property described as Part of the Southeast ¼ of the Southeast ¼ of Section 2, Township 27 North, Range 3 East, Town of Eau Pleine; approximately 2.75 acre parcel to be created.

The rezone is to create a parcel for residential purposes.

Existing uses:
F-P Farmland Preservation Zoning. The intent of this district is to maintain highly productive agricultural lands in food and fiber production by effectively limiting encroachment of non-agricultural development and minimizing land use conflicts among incompatible uses. This district is not intended to accommodate non-agricultural growth.

Proposed Uses
R-R Rural Residential District. The purpose of the R-R district is to accommodate single-family residential use along existing streets, to preserve the rural character while promoting open space single-family residential development (involving the extension of new streets), and to separate agricultural uses from other more extensive community development within the county. Limited agricultural activities are permitted in this district. These areas may or may not be serviced by municipal water and sanitary sewer.

Surrounding Conditions

Present Zoning
The original lot is Farmland Preservation, (FP) and by state rules on land division in the farmland Preservation zoning District proposed lot 1 on the certified survey map would be required to rezone out of the Farmland Preservation to be able to build a residential structure on the property. General surrounding properties are Farmland Preservation as is much of the Town of Eau Pleine.
**Comprehensive Plan/Future Land Use**
The Town of Eau Pleine’s future land use map in their Comprehensive Land Use Plan shows the area as Crop land (White). The Town does have several Stewardship Policy Initiatives for their Town directed towards residential development. (White Cropland)

![Comprehensive Plan/Future Land Use Map](image)

**Farmland Preservation Plan**
The lot was placed in the Farmland Preservation plan in 2013. Placing the property in the Farmland Preservation Plan designated that this was an area of strong agricultural practice.

![Farmland Preservation Plan Map](image)

**Town Recommendation**
On January 08, 2018 Marathon County Conservation and Zoning Department forwarded a resolution and copy of the application to the Town of Eau Pleine. We received on February 20th 2018 a request for extension from the Town for “Further Discussion needed on this request – was not on agenda as agenda was posted prior to receipt”. The town Chairman did contact our office on February 22, 2018 to discuss questions he had on the requested rezone.

Marathon County Conservation Planning and Zoning received a letter in opposition from Lyle & Laurel Pupp on February 28, 2018, which was included in your packet of information.

On March 8th, 2018 the Town of Eau Pleine met and filed a resolution recommending approval.
Conclusions of Law

Marathon County must consider all of the following standards in their decision. Please review and explain how the request does or does not meet each of these standards.

To approve a rezoning, the county must answer ‘agree’ to each of these standards. If the county recommends approval, but answered ‘disagree’ to any of these questions, they should indicate plan/ordinance changes, and/or additional information required to satisfy the criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Insufficient Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The rezoning is consistent with the Marathon County and Town Comprehensive Plan. <em>(Note how the proposal relates to the future land use map and the vision, goals, objectives, and policies of the plan).</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The rezoning is substantially consistent with the Marathon County Farmland Preservation Plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The location of the proposed development minimizes the amount of agricultural land converted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Adequate public facilities to serve the rezone are present or will be provided. <em>(Note impacts on highways, streets, water, sewage, drainage, schools, emergency services, etc.)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Providing public facilities will not be an unreasonable burden to local government.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The applicant has demonstrated a need for the proposed development?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. The rezoning will not cause unreasonable air and water pollution, soil erosion, or adverse effects on rare or irreplaceable natural areas.

☐ agree  ☐ disagree  ☐ insufficient information

8. The rezone will not substantially impair or limit current or future agricultural use of other protected farmland.

☐ agree  ☐ disagree  ☐ insufficient information

9. The Town has approved the proposed rezone of the property.

☐ agree  ☐ disagree  ☐ insufficient information

10. All concerns from other agencies on the proposed rezone have been addressed? (DNR, Highway, DOT) What are the concerns?

☐ agree  ☐ disagree  ☐ insufficient information

Land Conservation and Zoning Committee Decision

On the basis of the above findings of fact, conclusions of law, and the record in this matter, the Marathon County Land Conservation and Zoning Committee finds that the rezoning is:

☐ Approved  ☐ Denied, for the following reasons  ☐ Tabled for further consideration

Specify reasons for denial, or additional information requested:

☐ An amendment to the county comprehensive plan is needed to approve this petition.
☐ An amendment to the county farmland preservation plan is needed to approve this petition.

Describe recommended amendments:

Signature:

Chairman: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________
RESOLUTION ON ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

TO THE MARATHON COUNTY ZONING COMMITTEE

I, Kelly Wassow, Clerk of the Town of Frankfort, Marathon County, State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Town Board of the Town of Frankfort at a meeting held on the 12th day of March 2018.

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Section 59.69(5)(c)3m., Wisconsin Statutes, provides that if a town affected by a proposed amendment disapproves of the proposed amendment, the town board may file a certified copy of a resolution adopted by such board disapproving of the petition with the County Zoning Committee prior to, at or within ten (10) days after the public hearing, and

WHEREAS, if the town board of the town affected in the case of an ordinance relating to the location of boundaries of districts files such a resolution, the County Zoning Committee may not recommend to the County Board approval of the petition without change, but may only recommend approval with change or recommend disapproval.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Board of the Town of Frankfort considered on the 12th day of March 2018, petition by Tim Vreeland on behalf of Mark Heeg to amend the Marathon County Zoning Ordinance to rezone from G-A General Agriculture to R-F Rural Estate on property described as: Part of the NW 1/4 NW 1/4 of Section 26, Township 28 North, Range 3 East, Lot 1 as proposed on CSM submitted by Tim Vreeland on 2/16/18 in the Town of Frankfort PIN: 026-2803-262-0994.

The Town of Frankfort hereby has considered the following standards for rezoning above property (use additional sheets if necessary):

1) Has the applicant provided what public facilities and/or services currently serve the proposed development, what additional services may be required, and how the additional services will be provided?
   
   ☑ Yes  Explain: ____________________________

2) Has the applicant demonstrated how the provision of the public facilities will not be an unreasonable burden to local government?
   
   ☑ Yes  Explain: ____________________________

3) Has the applicant determined that the land is suitable for the development proposed? Explain.
   
   ☑ Yes  Explain: ____________________________

4) Has the applicant demonstrated what will have to be done so the development will not cause unreasonable air and water pollution, soil erosion, or adverse effects on rare or irreplaceable natural areas? Explain.
   
   ☑ Yes  Explain: ____________________________

5) Is there any potential for conflict with existing land uses in the area?
   
   ☑ No  ☐ Yes  Explain: ____________________________

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2018

MARATHON CO. CONSERVATION,
PLANNING & ZONING DEPT

(OVER)
6) Has the applicant demonstrated the need for the proposed development at this location? Explain.
   □ No   □ Yes   Explain:

7) Has the applicant demonstrated the availability of alternative locations? Be specific.
   □ No   □ Yes   Explain:

8) Is cropland is being consumed by this zone change? What is the productivity of the agricultural lands involved?
   □ No   □ Yes   Explain:

9) Has the applicant explained how the proposed development will be located to minimize the amount of agricultural land converted?
   □ No   □ Yes   Explain:

10) Is proposed rezone request consistent with the town's adopted Comprehensive Plan? Explain.
    □ No   □ Yes   Explain:

11) Is there anything else the Town wishes to present or comment on regarding this application to the Marathon County Land Conservation and Zoning (LCZ) Committee?
    □ No   □ Yes   Explain:

The Town of recommends: □ Approval   □ Disapproval of the amendment and/or zone change.

OR □ Requests an Extension* for the following reasons:

*Wis. Stats §59.69(5)(e), (3), and (3m) authorizes Towns to extend the time to disapprove a zone change for a total of thirty (30) days beyond the date of the public hearing. The extension must be by Town Board Resolution and remains in effect until the Town Board adopts a resolution rescinding the extension.

Clerk
Town Board

To The Town Board: If you recommend disapproval of this zone change request, please make every effort to send a representative to the public hearing. If you recommend either approval or disapproval, your input at the hearing is appreciated.

Please make every effort to return the completed resolution to: Marathon County Conservation, Planning & Zoning Department 210 River Drive Wausau WI 54403 5449 715-261-6000 Fax: 715-261-6016

Next Meeting Date: April 3, 2018

February 19, 2018
Mark Heeg  
Petition to Rezone Land  
Conservation, Planning and Zoning Committee  
Staff Report

## Findings of Fact

### Request:

The petition of Tim Vreeland on behalf of Mark Heeg to amend the Marathon County Zoning Ordinance to rezone lands from G-A – General Agriculture to R-E – Rural Estate, on property described as part of the NW ¼ of the NW¼ of Section 26, Township 28 Range 3 East, Town of Frankfort, proposed as Lot 1 (5.452 acre parcel) on preliminary Certified Survey Map submitted by Tim Vreeland on February 16, 2018. Part of PIN# 026-2803-262-0994.

The rezone is to create a parcel for residential purposes and remove the buildings from the cropland.

### Existing uses:

**G-A General Agricultural.** The purpose of the G-A district is designed to foster the preservation and use of agricultural land related uses and to provide for limited residential uses in a rural environment but not the division of land as classified in §18.07(2) and (3) into 5 or more tracts, parcels or lots within a 5 year period. This district provides for limited residential development with modest densities that require relatively large land areas that are compatible with the surrounding rural land use activities. The district is intended to provide towns with multiple options to guide growth and development in concert with the comprehensive planning efforts.

**Proposed Uses**

**R-E Rural Estate District.** The purpose of the R-E district is to accommodate single-family residential use along existing streets, to preserve the rural character while promoting open space single-family medium residential development (involving the extension of new streets), and to separate agricultural uses from other more extensive community development within the County. Limited agricultural activities and livestock are allowed in this district. These areas may or may not be serviced by municipal water and sanitary sewer.

### Surrounding Conditions

**Present Zoning**

The original lot is General Agricultural, (GA), A small lot was taken out of the same 40 years past removing a small acreage for a single family home, (Yellow). In addition there are other residually zoned parcels that are near this proposed site.
Comprehensive Plan/ Future Land Use
The Town of Frankfort’s future land use map in their Comprehensive Land Use Plan shows the area as Residential (Yellow). At the time of their comprehensive plan the area was already developed with a homestead and agricultural buildings.

Farmland Preservation Plan
The lot was placed in the Farmland Preservation plan in 2013. Placing the property in the Farmland Preservation Plan designated that this was an area of strong agricultural practice. They are removing the area with the buildings from the rest of the cropland and not dividing into the cropland.

Town Recommendation
On March 12, 2018 The Town of Frankfort met and recommended approval of the proposed rezone.

Staff Notes
During the land division process the surveyor was contact informing him that a rezone or a conditional use permit would be required for the land division. Staff had 2 concerns with the land division;

1) That all of the agricultural buildings would be restricted to a lower number of animal units by rezoning instead of doing the conditional use permit.

2) The manure storage facility is being divided onto a separate piece of property than the agricultural buildings are on.

The Surveyor addressed both of these concerns with the owner and has told CPZ staff that the agricultural buildings are in poor shape and will be razing them. As for the manure storage facility the owner is aware that he will have to deal with the possible closure of the manure pit and is expecting the Conservation division to be contacting him once the slip occurs.
**Mark Heeg Case**  
Conservation, Planning and Zoning Committee  
Decision Form

## Conclusions of Law

Marathon County must consider all of the following standards in their decision. Please review and explain how the request does or does not meet each of these standards.

To approve a rezoning, the county must answer ‘agree’ to each of these standards. If the county recommends approval, but answered ‘disagree’ to any of these questions, they should indicate plan/ordinance changes, and/or additional information required to satisfy the criteria.

1. The rezoning is consistent with the [Marathon County](#) and [Town](#) Comprehensive Plan. *(Note how the proposal relates to the future land use map and the vision, goals, objectives, and policies of the plan).*
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

2. The rezoning is substantially consistent with the Marathon County [Farmland Preservation Plan](#).
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

3. The location of the proposed development minimizes the amount of agricultural land converted.
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

4. Adequate public facilities to serve the rezone are present or will be provided. *(Note impacts on highways, streets, water, sewage, drainage, schools, emergency services, etc.).*
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

5. Providing public facilities will not be an unreasonable burden to local government.
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

6. The applicant has demonstrated a need for the proposed development?
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information
7. The rezoning will not cause unreasonable air and water pollution, soil erosion, or adverse effects on rare or irreplaceable natural areas.

☐ agree  ☐ disagree  ☐ insufficient information

8. The rezone will not substantially impair or limit current or future agricultural use of other protected farmland.

☐ agree  ☐ disagree  ☐ insufficient information

9. The Town has approved the proposed rezone of the property.

☐ agree  ☐ disagree  ☐ insufficient information

10. All concerns from other agencies on the proposed rezone have been addressed? (DNR, Highway, DOT) What are the concerns?

☐ agree  ☐ disagree  ☐ insufficient information

---

**Land Conservation and Zoning Committee Decision**

On the basis of the above findings of fact, conclusions of law, and the record in this matter, the Marathon County Land Conservation and Zoning Committee finds that the rezoning is:

☐ Approved
☒ Denied, for the following reasons
☐ Tabled for further consideration

Specify reasons for denial, or additional information requested:

__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________

☐ An amendment to the county comprehensive plan is needed to approve this petition.
☒ An amendment to the county farmland preservation plan is needed to approve this petition.

Describe recommended amendments:

__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________

Signature:

Chairman: ___________________________  Date: __________________
Findings of Fact

Request:
The petition of David and Danelle Ley on behalf of J & B Grezenski Enterprises LLC to amend the Marathon County Zoning Ordinance to rezone lands from G-A – General Agriculture to R-R – Rural Residential, on property described as part NE ¼ of the SW ¼ of Section 27, Township 26 North, Range 7 East, Town of Knowlton, proposed as Lot 1 (approximately 3.0 acre parcel) on preliminary Certified Survey Map submitted by Gary Krueger on February 13, 2017. Part of PIN #048-2607-273-0999.

The rezone is to create a parcel for residential purposes and remove the buildings from the cropland.

Existing uses:

G-A General Agricultural. The purpose of the G-A district is designed to foster the preservation and use of agricultural land related uses and to provide for limited residential uses in a rural environment but not the division of land as classified in §18.07(2) and (3) into 5 or more tracts, parcels or lots within a 5 year period. This district provides for limited residential development with modest densities that require relatively large land areas that are compatible with the surrounding rural land use activities. The district is intended to provide towns with multiple options to guide growth and development in concert with the comprehensive planning efforts.

Proposed Uses

R-R Rural Residential District. The purpose of the R-R district is to accommodate single-family residential use along existing streets, to preserve the rural character while promoting open space single-family residential development (involving the extension of new streets), and to separate agricultural uses from other more extensive community development within the county. Limited agricultural activities are permitted in this district. These areas may or may not be serviced by municipal water and sanitary sewer.

Surrounding Conditions

Present Zoning

The original lot General Agricultural, (GA), Many other residentially zoned parcels border and are near this proposed site.
**Comprehensive Plan/ Future Land Use**
The Town of Knowlton's future land use map in their Comprehensive Land Use Plan shows the area as Residential (Yellow).

**Farmland Preservation Plan**
The lot was placed in the Farmland Preservation plan in 2013. Placing the property in the Farmland Preservation Plan designated that this was an area of strong agricultural practice. This original property is majority of forested and has a large amount of wetlands.

**Town Recommendation**
On March 12, 2018 The Town of Knowlton met and recommended approval of the proposed rezone. Stating that the rezone fits their comprehensive plan.
## Conclusions of Law

Marathon County must consider all of the following standards in their decision. Please review and explain how the request does or does not meet each of these standards.

To approve a rezoning, the county must answer ‘agree’ to each of these standards. If the county recommends approval, but answered ‘disagree’ to any of these questions, they should indicate plan/ordinance changes, and/or additional information required to satisfy the criteria.

1. The rezoning is consistent with the [Marathon County](#) and [Town](#) Comprehensive Plan. *(Note how the proposal relates to the future land use map and the vision, goals, objectives, and policies of the plan).*
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

2. The rezoning is substantially consistent with the Marathon County [Farmland Preservation Plan](#).
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

3. The location of the proposed development minimizes the amount of agricultural land converted.
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

4. Adequate public facilities to serve the rezone are present or will be provided. *(Note impacts on highways, streets, water, sewage, drainage, schools, emergency services, etc.).*
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

5. Providing public facilities will not be an unreasonable burden to local government.
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

6. The applicant has demonstrated a need for the proposed development?
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information
7. The rezoning will not cause unreasonable air and water pollution, soil erosion, or adverse effects on rare or irreplaceable natural areas.
   □ agree  □ disagree  □ insufficient information

8. The rezoning will not substantially impair or limit current or future agricultural use of other protected farmland.
   □ agree  □ disagree  □ insufficient information

9. The Town has approved the proposed rezone of the property.
   □ agree  □ disagree  □ insufficient information

10. All concerns from other agencies on the proposed rezone have been addressed? (DNR, Highway, DOT) What are the concerns?
   □ agree  □ disagree  □ insufficient information

Land Conservation and Zoning Committee Decision

On the basis of the above findings of fact, conclusions of law, and the record in this matter, the Marathon County Land Conservation and Zoning Committee finds that the rezoning is:

□ Approved
□ Denied, for the following reasons
□ Tabled for further consideration

Specify reasons for denial, or additional information requested:

□ An amendment to the county comprehensive plan is needed to approve this petition.
□ An amendment to the county farmland preservation plan is needed to approve this petition.

Describe recommended amendments:

Signature:

Chairman: _____________________________  Date: _____________________________
Attached is the request for extension.
Dean Johnson
Marathon County Zoning Administrator
210 River Drive
Wausau, WI 54403
Phone: 715-261-6031
Fax: 715-261-6016

From: Carolyn Opitz <opitzjc@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 25, 2018 9:59:08 PM
To: Dean Johnson
Subject: Re: Hansen & Redetzke rezone on behalf of Leffel Investments

Hi Dean,

We have been having trouble with flooding on Zyg street since the city allowed a huge housing complex across from Darnell Leffels property on Cty Rd E. We have been going around with the city because they are not taking care of the issue. The residents of Zyg are up in arms because they think there would be more water runoff from Leffels. The County Highway commissioner is also involved. I would like to ask for an extension on the Rezone of Darnell’s property.

Thanks,
Carolyn Opitz, Chairman, Town of McMillan

Sent from my iPad

On Mar 25, 2018, at 7:37 PM, Dean Johnson <Dean.Johnson@co.marathon.wi.us> wrote:

Carolyn,

We got your email where the town is going to look at a water issue in the spring on the property up for rezone. We need you to request an extension on the rezone. You can do it via email to me.

Thanks

Dean Johnson
Marathon County Zoning Administrator
Jim Siebertz & Company
Committee of Maricopa County

Document #18906

Regard for the petition brought by Rob Heuvel
and Robert Parks, and help.

Please note since help has been given, the
construction of the current building on Hwy 6, and as the drainage from that property ends up on all of our yards and remains wet well into late June. If you were to allow them to disturb more ground into parking lots, would you have them build an extension pond for their drainage before they are elevated and will flood out all neighbors on 2nd and Ash St. This should have done when the current well was constructed.

Gary Schulte 309 309 A
P.S.
Please note not all land is zone commercial. This land is mostly residential with only one business on this area and that business has been closed for years.
Findings of Fact

Request:
The petition of Rob Hansen and Jeff Redetzke on behalf of Leffel Investments to amend the Marathon County Zoning Ordinance to rezone from R-R – Rural Residential to N-C – Neighborhood Commercial, on property described as part of the SW ¼ of the NW ¼ Section 33, Township 26 North, Range 3 East in the Town of McMillan, Lot 2 of Certified Survey Map Volume 65 Page 21, recorded in the Marathon County Register of Deeds as Document # 1680606 with a PIN # 056-2603-332-0954.

The rezone is to place self-storage buildings on the property.

Existing Uses:

R-R Rural Residential District. The purpose of the R-R district is to accommodate single-family residential use along existing streets, to preserve the rural character while promoting open space single-family residential development (involving the extension of new streets), and to separate agricultural uses from other more extensive community development within the county. Limited agricultural activities are permitted in this district. These areas may or may not be serviced by municipal water and sanitary sewer.

Proposed Uses

N-C Neighborhood Commercial District. The purpose of the N-C district is to accommodate the wide range of retail stores and personal service establishments which cater to frequent recurring needs.

Surrounding Conditions

Present Zoning

Majority of the lots around the property are zoned Residential with Commercial need the property.
**Comprehensive Plan/ Future Land Use**
The Town of McMillan's Future plan shows the area as a transitional area both with residential and commercial.

---

**Farmland Preservation Plan**
The lot was NOT placed in the Farmland Preservation plan in 2013. Placing the property in the Farmland Preservation Plan designated that this was an area of strong agricultural practice. This area is actually within the growth area of the City of Marshfield.

---

**Town Recommendation**
On March 26, 2018 Dean Johnson received an email from Carolyn Opitz, Chairperson Town of McMillan requesting an extension on decision for rezone.

“We have been having trouble with flooding on Zyg street since the city allowed a huge housing complex across from Darnell Leffel’s property on Cty Rd E. We have been going around with the city because they are not taking care of the issue. The residents of Zyg are up in arms because they think there would be more water runoff from Leffel’s. The County Highway commissioner is also involved. I would like to ask for an extension on the Rezone of Darnell’s property.”
Marathon County must consider all of the following standards in their decision. Please review and explain how the request does or does not meet each of these standards.

To approve a rezoning, the county must answer ‘agree’ to each of these standards. If the county recommends approval, but answered ‘disagree’ to any of these questions, they should indicate plan/ordinance changes, and/or additional information required to satisfy the criteria.

1. The rezoning is consistent with the Marathon County and Town Comprehensive Plan. (Note how the proposal relates to the future land use map and the vision, goals, objectives, and policies of the plan).
   - agree
   - disagree
   - insufficient information

2. The rezoning is substantially consistent with the Marathon County Farmland Preservation Plan.
   - agree
   - disagree
   - insufficient information

3. The location of the proposed development minimizes the amount of agricultural land converted.
   - agree
   - disagree
   - insufficient information

4. Adequate public facilities to serve the rezone are present or will be provided. (Note impacts on highways, streets, water, sewage, drainage, schools, emergency services, etc.).
   - agree
   - disagree
   - insufficient information

5. Providing public facilities will not be an unreasonable burden to local government.
   - agree
   - disagree
   - insufficient information

6. The applicant has demonstrated a need for the proposed development?
   - agree
   - disagree
   - insufficient information
7. The rezoning will not cause unreasonable air and water pollution, soil erosion, or adverse effects on rare or irreplaceable natural areas.
   □ agree □ disagree □ insufficient information

8. The rezone will not substantially impair or limit current or future agricultural use of other protected farmland.
   □ agree □ disagree □ insufficient information

9. The Town has approved the proposed rezone of the property.
   □ agree □ disagree □ insufficient information

10. All concerns from other agencies on the proposed rezone have been addressed? (DNR, Highway, DOT) What are the concerns?
    □ agree □ disagree □ insufficient information

Land Conservation and Zoning Committee Decision

On the basis of the above findings of fact, conclusions of law, and the record in this matter, the Marathon County Land Conservation and Zoning Committee finds that the rezoning is:
□ Approved
□ Denied, for the following reasons
□ Tabled for further consideration

Specify reasons for denial, or additional information requested:

□ An amendment to the county comprehensive plan is needed to approve this petition.
□ An amendment to the county farmland preservation plan is needed to approve this petition.

Describe recommended amendments:

Signature:
Chairman: ___________________________ Date: ___________________
Findings of Fact

Request:
The petition of Craig and Christine Polzin to amend the Marathon County Zoning Ordinance to rezone lands from F-P Farmland Preservation to R-R Rural Residential, on property described as part of the SW ¼ of the SW ¼ of Section 3, Township 27 North, Range 3 East, Town of Eau Pleine, proposed as Lot 1 (3.433 acre parcel) on preliminary Certified Survey Map submitted by Tim Vreeland on January 18th, 2018. Part of PIN# 020-2703-033-0997.

The rezone is to create a parcel for residential purposes.

Existing uses:

F-P Farmland Preservation Zoning. The intent of this district is to maintain highly productive agricultural lands in food and fiber production by effectively limiting encroachment of non-agricultural development and minimizing land use conflicts among incompatible uses. This district is not intended to accommodate non-agricultural growth.

Proposed Uses

R-R Rural Residential District. The purpose of the R-R district is to accommodate single-family residential use along existing streets, to preserve the rural character while promoting open space single-family residential development (involving the extension of new streets), and to separate agricultural uses from other more extensive community development within the county. Limited agricultural activities are permitted in this district. These areas may or may not be serviced by municipal water and sanitary sewer.

Surrounding Conditions

Present Zoning

The original lot is Farmland Preservation, (FP), and majority of the properties surrounding this area are zoned Farmland Preservation. By the certified district when lots are created for residential properties under 35 acres a rezone is required.
**Comprehensive Plan/ Future Land Use**
The Town of Eau Pleine’s future land use map in their Comprehensive Land Use Plan shows the area as Cropland (White). Which the proposal shows limited removal of cropland.

**Farmland Preservation Plan**
The lot was placed in the Farmland Preservation plan in 2013. Placing the property in the Farmland Preservation Plan designated that this was an area of strong agricultural practice. They are removing the area in front of the existing area with the buildings and leaving the cropland area undivided.

**Town Recommendation**
On March 8, 2018 The Town of Eau Pleine met and recommended approval of the proposed rezone stating the following:
1. Owner wishes to build single family home on property he owns
2. Project will add tax dollars and
3. Owner owns property all around but back wants property with home on a separate piece of property.
### Conclusions of Law

Marathon County must consider all of the following standards in their decision. Please review and explain how the request does or does not meet each of these standards.

To approve a rezoning, the county must answer ‘agree’ to each of these standards. If the county recommends approval, but answered ‘disagree’ to any of these questions, they should indicate plan/ordinance changes, and/or additional information required to satisfy the criteria.

1. The rezoning is consistent with the Marathon County and Town Comprehensive Plan. *(Note how the proposal relates to the future land use map and the vision, goals, objectives, and policies of the plan).*
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

2. The rezoning is substantially consistent with the Marathon County Farmland Preservation Plan.
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

3. The location of the proposed development minimizes the amount of agricultural land converted.
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

4. Adequate public facilities to serve the rezone are present or will be provided. *(Note impacts on highways, streets, water, sewage, drainage, schools, emergency services, etc.).*
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

5. Providing public facilities will not be an unreasonable burden to local government.
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information

6. The applicant has demonstrated a need for the proposed development?
   - [ ] agree
   - [ ] disagree
   - [ ] insufficient information
7. The rezoning will not cause unreasonable air and water pollution, soil erosion, or adverse effects on rare or irreplaceable natural areas.
   [ ] agree  [ ] disagree  [ ] insufficient information

8. The rezone will not substantially impair or limit current or future agricultural use of other protected farmland.
   [ ] agree  [ ] disagree  [ ] insufficient information

9. The Town has approved the proposed rezone of the property.
   [ ] agree  [ ] disagree  [ ] insufficient information

10. All concerns from other agencies on the proposed rezone have been addressed? (DNR, Highway, DOT) What are the concerns?
    [ ] agree  [ ] disagree  [ ] insufficient information

Land Conservation and Zoning Committee Decision

On the basis of the above findings of fact, conclusions of law, and the record in this matter, the Marathon County Land Conservation and Zoning Committee finds that the rezoning is:
   [ ] Approved
   [ ] Denied, for the following reasons
   [ ] Tabled for further consideration

Specify reasons for denial, or additional information requested:

[ ] An amendment to the county comprehensive plan is needed to approve this petition.
[ ] An amendment to the county farmland preservation plan is needed to approve this petition.

Describe recommended amendments:

Signature:

Chairman: ________________________________ Date: __________________
RESOLUTION #R- _____-18
Approval of Town of Emmet Local Zoning Ordinance Amendment

WHEREAS, to §60.62(3) Wis. Stats provides that any Zoning Ordinance and/or map adopted by a Town Board and any amendment thereof shall be subject to the approval of the County Board in counties having a county zoning ordinance, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of Emmet has amended their zoning as shown on the attached report, and

WHEREAS, the Marathon County Land Conservation and Zoning Committee, having considered the request to review amendments of the Town Zoning Ordinance filed by the Clerk of the Town of Emmet, and being duly informed of the facts pertinent to the changes proposed, having reviewed the staff’s recommendations, and duly advised action by the Town, hereby recommends that the County Board approves this amendment as attached.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Marathon County Board of Supervisors hereby approves the amendment to the Town of Emmet Zoning Ordinance and/or Zoning map as attached and made part of this record, all of which to be filed with the Marathon County Clerk.

Dated this 3rd day of April, 2018.

LAND CONSERVATION AND ZONING COMMITTEE

________________________________________________ Chair
STATE OF WISCONSIN
COUNTY OF MARATHON
TOWN OF EMMET

WHEREAS, the Town Board of Supervisors of the Town of EMMET has heretofore been petitioned to repeal and re-adopt the following (Check all that apply)...

☐ Town Zoning Ordinance
☐ Zoning Map, and:

WHEREAS, the Town on due notice conducted a public hearing on the proposed Ordinance and/or map, and;

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance and map have has been given due consideration by the Town Board in open session, and;

WHEREAS, a comprehensive plan has been adopted by the Town, and the proposed Ordinance and/or map are compatible with the adopted comprehensive plan;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that pursuant to §60.62(3) Wis. Stats, the Town Board of Supervisors of the Town of EMMET does hereby request review by the County Zoning Committee and subsequent recommendation for County Board approval of the attached Zoning Ordinance (and accompanying Zoning Map).

Dated this 13 of MARCH, 2018

Signed by the Board of the Town of:

Donald E. Halche
Milton M. Maguire
Wayne King

CERTIFICATION

I, Gerard W Fitzgerald, Clerk of the Town of EMMET, Marathon County, State of Wisconsin do hereby certify that the attached is a true and correct copy of a Zoning Resolution adopted by a majority vote of the Town Board of the Town of EMMET on MARCH 13, 2018.

Gerard W Fitzgerald
Town Clerk (signature)

Send this completed form along with your amendments, and a map, to:
Zoning and Regulatory Services
Marathon County Conservation, Planning & Zoning (CPZ) Department
210 River Drive
Wausau WI 54403 5449

RECEIVED
MAR 19 2018
MARATHON CO. CONSERVATION,
PLANNING & ZONING DEPT
PETITION FOR ZONE CHANGE
TOWN OF EMMET PLANNING COMMISSION

As authorized by Section 4.09 of the Town of Emmet Zoning Ordinance

Glenn Kafka, Kafka Granite LLC
(Name) 1188 Ridgeview Road, Mosinee, WI 54455
(Address)

Hereby petition to rezone property owned by Kafka Granite LLC,

900 Ridgeview Road, Mosinee, WI

From the classification of C1 to M1

The legal description of the property to be rezoned is: Part of Lot 1 of CSM 12356 and

all of Outlet 2 of CSM 16361, located in the SW 1/4 of the SE 1/4 of Section 20 and NW 1/4
of the NE 1/4 of Section 29 of Township 27-06, Town of Emmet, Marathon County.

Also Known as Lots 1 & 2 of CSM Vol 87 Page 17950

The proposed zoning change is to facilitate the use of the land for construction of a

building for processing rock and granite veneer.

The names and addresses of all property owners within 300 feet of the area proposed for rezoning are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duane and Renee Laddick</td>
<td>903 Ridgeview Road, Mosinee</td>
<td>715-435-4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert and Marcia Kohnert</td>
<td>910 Ridgeview Road, Mosinee</td>
<td>715-212-3147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titan Properties</td>
<td>2262 W. State Hwy 153, Mosinee</td>
<td>715-693-0069</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attached is a drawing of the proposed rezone parcel which includes the names of all property owners, and the existing land use and present zoning classifications within 300 feet of the parcel to be rezoned.

Signature

Address

Signature

715 897 2101

Phone
CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP

MARATHON COUNTY NO. _____________ VOL. _____________ PAGE _____________

PART OF LOT 1 OF CSM 12356 AND ALL OF OUTLOT 2 OF CSM 16361,
LOCATED IN THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 20
AND NORTHWEST 1/4 OF THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 29 OF TOWNSHIP
27 NORTH, RANGE 6 EAST, TOWN OF EMMET, MARATHON COUNTY, WISCONSIN.

VREELAND ASSOCIATES, INC. 
PH (715) 241-0047 OR TOLL FREE (866) 693-3979 
FAX (715) 241-9028 tim@vreelandassociates.us

FILE #: B-251-18 KAFKA 
OWNER: TITAN PROPERTIES

DRAFTED BY: TIMOTHY G. VREELAND DRAWN BY: TIMOTHY G. VREELAND

SURVEYORS CERTIFICATE

I, TIMOTHY G. VREELAND, PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT AT THE
DIRECTION OF GLEN KAFKA, I SURVEYED, MAPPED AND DIVIDED PART OF LOT 1 OF CERTIFIED
SURVEY MAP NUMBER 12356, RECORDED IN VOLUME 53 OF SURVEYS ON PAGE 64 AND ALL OF
OUTLOT 2 OF CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP NUMBER 16361, RECORDED IN VOLUME 78 OF SURVEYS ON
PAGE 10, LOCATED IN THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 20
AND NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 29 ALL IN TOWNSHIP 27
NORTH, RANGE 6 EAST, TOWN OF EMMET, MARATHON COUNTY, WISCONSIN, DESCRIBED AS
FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT THE SOUTH QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 20; THEN
S 89'13"35' E 33.00 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF RIDGEVIEW ROAD TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING;
THENCE S 89'13"35' E ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP NUMBER 4753 149.51
FEET; THENCE N 0'01"10' W ALONG THE EAST LINE OF CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP NUMBER 4753
299.73 FEET; THENCE S 89'09"53' E ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 OF CERTIFIED SURVEY
MAP NUMBER 12356 993.48 FEET; THENCE S 0'07"10' E ALONG THE WEST LINE OF OUTLOT 1
OF CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP NUMBER 16361 589.14 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF STATE HIGHWAY
"153"; THENCE N 89'05"29' W ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF STATE HIGHWAY "153" 12.44 FEET;
THENCE N 85'49"48' W ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF STATE HIGHWAY "153" 1038.53 FEET; THENCE
N 42'52"20' W ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF STATE HIGHWAY "153" 140.13 FEET TO THE EAST
LINE OF RIDGEVIEW ROAD; THENCE N 0'12"41' W ALONG THE EAST LINE OF RIDGEVIEW ROAD
119.85 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. SUBJECT TO ALL EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS AND
RIGHTS OF WAY OF RECORD AND USE.

THAT SUCH MAP IS A CORRECT REPRESENTATION OF ALL EXTERIOR BOUNDARIES OF THE
LAND SURVEYED AND THE DIVISION AND THE CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP THEREOF MADE.

THAT I HAVE FULLY COMPLIED WITH SECTION 236.34 OF THE WISCONSIN STATUTES IN
SURVEYING, MAPPING AND DIVIDING THE LANDS. CHAPTER A-E 7 OF THE WISCONSIN
ADMINISTRATIVE CODE AND THE LAND DIVISION ORDNANCE OF MARATHON COUNTY AND THE TOWN
OF EMMET, ALL TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF IN SURVEYING, DIVIDING AND
MAPPING THE SAME.

DATED THIS 16TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2016
SURVEY PERFORMED JANUARY 15TH, 2016

APPROVED FOR RECORDING UNDER
THE TERMS OF THE MARATHON
COUNTY LAND DIVISION REGULATIONS.

DATE

MARATHON CO. CONSERVATION,
PLANNING & ZONING DEPT.
CPZ TRACKING NO.

TIMOTHY G. VREELAND P.L.S. 2291
Affidavit of Publication

STATE of WISCONSIN
Marathon County

Krish O'Leary, being duly sworn, doth dispense and say that he/she is an authorized representative of The Record-Review, a newspaper published weekly in the Villages of Athens, Edgar, Marathon and Stratford, in said county, and that notice of which the annexed is a true copy, taken from said paper, was published therein on

February 7, 2018
February 14, 2018
February 21, 2018

(Signed)  [Signature]
(Title)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23rd day of February, 2018

[Signature]
Notary Public, Marathon County, Wisconsin

My commission expires 10/20/2021

No. Lines or Total Inches 5 No. Times 3

Notary Fees $1.00
Printers Fees $105.00

Extra Copies
Total $106.00

Received Payment

The Record-Review
Edgar, Wisconsin
DATE: March 29, 2018

TO: Marathon County Land Conservation and Zoning Committee

FROM: Dean Johnson, Zoning Administrator

SUBJECT: Preliminary Plat Approval – Four Mile Camp

Staff has reviewed a plat submitted by Dan Higginbotham, Plover River Land Company Inc. for Four Mile Camp. Staff will be presenting it to the Committee for Preliminary Plat Approval.

Through the preliminary approval process, the Committee may place conditions on the plat that the developer/surveyor must complete prior to the final plat approval. If the Committee chooses to approve the Preliminary Plat, CPZ staff requests that the following 3 conditions be included with the preliminary approval. The Committee may add other conditions as deemed necessary.

1) Per State Statutes, all lots must close to a standard of 1:3000, this is about 4 ½ inches. Lots 17 and 22 at this time do not meet these requirements. Lot 17 is about 8 inches off and Lot 22 is about 1 foot 8 inches off. **Condition to be that all lots close within the 1:3000 required specs.**

2) A flood study has been submitted to the Department of Natural Resources for approval. The department has a copy of the study and is waiting for an approval letter. **Condition: An approval letter from the Department of Natural Resources is submitted to Marathon County CPZ prior to approval.**

3) A wetland delineation has been completed and submitted to the Department of Natural Resources. The department has received a copy of this report and is waiting for an approval letter. **Condition: An approval letter from the Department of Natural Resources is submitted to Marathon County CPZ prior to approval.**
Four Mile Camp

Located in part of the Northeast 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4, and part of the Southeast 1/4 of the Northeast 1/4 of Section 8, Township 27 North Range 7 East, Town of Wosree, Marathon County, Wisconsin.

TOWN BOARD RESOLUTION

RESOLVED, that the plat of FOUR MILE CAMP, East Women, LLC, a Wisconsin Limited Liability Company, has been approved by the Town Board.

DATE APPROVED: ____________

DATE SIGNED: ____________

Legal Representative:

Legal Representation:

Town Clerk:

MARATHON COUNTY LAND CONSERVATION AND ZONING COMMITTEE

Resolved, the plat of FOUR MILE CAMP, East Women, LLC, a Wisconsin Limited Liability Company, has been approved by the Land Conservation and Zoning Commission.

DATE APPROVED: ____________

DATE SIGNED: ____________

LCZ Commission Chairperson:

LCZ Commission Chairperson:

CERTIFICATE OF TOWN TREASURER

STATE OF WISCONSIN

COUNTY OF MARATHON

I, the undersigned, do certify, that the Town Treasurer has approved the plat of FOUR MILE CAMP, East Women, LLC, a Wisconsin Limited Liability Company, and that the plat is in conformity with the Wisconsin Statutes, and is hereby approved.

DATE: ____________

TOWN TREASURER:

CERTIFICATE OF COUNTY TREASURER

STATE OF WISCONSIN

COUNTY OF MARATHON

I, the undersigned, do certify, that the County Treasurer has approved the plat of FOUR MILE CAMP, East Women, LLC, a Wisconsin Limited Liability Company, and that the plat is in conformity with the Wisconsin Statutes, and is hereby approved.

DATE: ____________

COUNTY TREASURER:

CERTIFICATE OF CORPORATE MORTGAGEE

Mortgage, dated _____, 20___, from ______, as mortgagor, to ______, as mortgagee, for the sum of $____, being the consideration therefor, being delivered on the date hereof, is hereby approved, ratified and confirmed, and recorded in the office of the Clerk of Courts of the County of ______, State of Wisconsin, and is hereby approved. The mortgagee has been served with a copy of this document.

DATE: ____________

MORTGAGEE:

INSTRUCTIONS ON DOCUMENT

FOR USE WITH THE WISCONSIN LAND REGISTRATION SYSTEM

This document identifies the registered land, the current holder, and any restrictions on the land. It is filed with the Clerk of Courts in the county where the land is located. The document is available for public inspection.

DATE: ____________

INSTRUCTIONS ON DOCUMENT

FOR USE WITH THE WISCONSIN LAND REGISTRATION SYSTEM

This document identifies the registered land, the current holder, and any restrictions on the land. It is filed with the Clerk of Courts in the county where the land is located. The document is available for public inspection.

DATE: ____________

INSTRUCTIONS ON DOCUMENT

FOR USE WITH THE WISCONSIN LAND REGISTRATION SYSTEM

This document identifies the registered land, the current holder, and any restrictions on the land. It is filed with the Clerk of Courts in the county where the land is located. The document is available for public inspection.

DATE: ____________

INSTRUCTIONS ON DOCUMENT

FOR USE WITH THE WISCONSIN LAND REGISTRATION SYSTEM

This document identifies the registered land, the current holder, and any restrictions on the land. It is filed with the Clerk of Courts in the county where the land is located. The document is available for public inspection.

DATE: ____________

INSTRUCTIONS ON DOCUMENT

FOR USE WITH THE WISCONSIN LAND REGISTRATION SYSTEM

This document identifies the registered land, the current holder, and any restrictions on the land. It is filed with the Clerk of Courts in the county where the land is located. The document is available for public inspection.

DATE: ____________

INSTRUCTIONS ON DOCUMENT

FOR USE WITH THE WISCONSIN LAND REGISTRATION SYSTEM

This document identifies the registered land, the current holder, and any restrictions on the land. It is filed with the Clerk of Courts in the county where the land is located. The document is available for public inspection.

DATE: ____________

INSTRUCTIONS ON DOCUMENT

FOR USE WITH THE WISCONSIN LAND REGISTRATION SYSTEM

This document identifies the registered land, the current holder, and any restrictions on the land. It is filed with the Clerk of Courts in the county where the land is located. The document is available for public inspection.

DATE: ____________

INSTRUCTIONS ON DOCUMENT

FOR USE WITH THE WISCONSIN LAND REGISTRATION SYSTEM

This document identifies the registered land, the current holder, and any restrictions on the land. It is filed with the Clerk of Courts in the county where the land is located. The document is available for public inspection.
Continuing in 2018: Wisconsin’s Turkey Donation Program.

2015 Wisconsin Act 31 expanded the venison donation program to also allow for the donation of wild turkeys. We ran a limited-release of the turkey donation program for the spring 2017 turkey seasons, focusing on a handful of southern counties with higher turkey harvest numbers. We then opened up the program to all counties for the 2017 fall turkey hunting season.

For 2018, any county can participate in the program for both the spring and fall seasons. Processors can choose whether they would like to participate in both the spring and fall seasons, or just one or the other.

We will greatly increase our promotional efforts for the program during the 2018 turkey hunting seasons in order to encourage greater hunter participation in the program.

**At a Glance**

Getting started
- General information about the program—which counties can participate & how the program works.
- Commonly asked questions about the Wisconsin Turkey Donation Program.
- “Non-profit Organization Agreement to Implement Wisconsin Turkey Donation” — to be used if you would like a non-profit organization to help implement the program in your county.

Setting up processors
- Processor licensing requirements and program obligations to participate in the program.
- A blank copy of the “Turkey Processor Agreement” to be used when signing up each processor.

Setting up pantries
- Food pantry obligations to participate in the program.

The financial end of things
- Invoice requirements and deadlines. Invoices should be received by processors by no later than June 7th for the spring seasons, and by January 15th, 2019 for the fall seasons.

Reporting Requirements
- Final donation numbers should be sent in to the DNR by Wednesday, June 6th for the spring season, and by Friday, January 11th 2019 for the fall season.
- A blank form that you can use for reporting total donations by processor and pantry.
 Wisconson Turkey Donation at a glance
The Wisconsin Turkey Donation program was authorized by the state legislature in 2015 and is designed to use existing Wildlife Damage Abatement & Claims Program (WDACP) funds to pay for the processing of turkey harvested and donated by hunters in Wisconsin. The meat is processed and then distributed (free of charge) to needy people across the state of Wisconsin. Through the program, needy families will receive ground turkey meat, and hunters have the opportunity to donate harvested turkeys to a worthwhile cause. For all involved, the program promotes a positive public image of turkey hunting, the organizations involved, and those who participate in and promote Wisconsin turkey hunting.

Which counties can participate?
Any county that participates in the WDACP can participate in the turkey donation program. Currently 70 of 72 counties are enrolled in the WDACP. Kenosha and Menominee counties are not currently enrolled in the WDACP, and thus are not eligible to participate in Wisconsin Turkey Donation.

Program Responsibilities by Entity

Counts: Counties must agree to participate and notify the WDNR of their intent to participate by submitting the enclosed agreement. The County (or their agents or a non-profit organization) is then responsible for setting up the program: this includes organizing and signing agreements with processors in their county to accept and process the turkey meat, and coordinating area food pantries to pick up and distribute the ground turkey meat. Counties are also responsible for submitting a list of participating processors to WDNR, paying the cost of meat processing, reporting program activities at the end of the 2018 spring and fall turkey seasons, and submitting reimbursements (through the WDACP financial database).

The costs of the program for 2018 will be rolled into the amounts budgeted for the venison donation program. If these amounts will be exceeded, a budget amendment can be submitted through the WDACP database that would add additional funds. In 2018, the maximum cost the WDACP will pay for processing is $15 per turkey. A county may accept a higher processing fee if they have other (non-WDACP) funds to pay the difference.

Hunters: Hunters need only do five things:
1. Legally harvest and register a turkey
2. Field-dress and keep the turkey in a cool location.
3. Call a participating processor to verify that the processor has space to accept the hunter’s turkey.
4. Drop the turkey off at the participating processor, during regular business hours, by May 31st, 2018 for the spring season, and by January 8th, 2019 for the fall season.
5. Sign a log-in sheet at the processor to verify their donation. Hunters must donate the entire turkey carcass in order for the processing cost to be covered by the turkey donation program; however, the beard, feet & spurs, and feathers (tail fan) can be removed prior to donation.

Processors: Processors must be licensed (Meat Establishment License) by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and/or the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection. Processors must sign an agreement with a county WDACP representative in order to participate in the turkey donation program, and must agree to follow all of the terms of that agreement. The participating processor accepts donations, checks to ensure that the turkeys are from the approved donation area, and verifies that hunters log all donated turkeys on the “Hunter Turkey Donation Log Sheets.”
The processors will then de-breast the turkey, grind the meat and package it into one-pound packages. The meat should then be frozen. One of the participating charitable organization representatives or volunteers picks up the meat and distributes it to the participating food pantries. The processor can arrange for a pick-up of the ground turkey with the contact person indicated on their agreement whenever it is mutually convenient.

Please reference the corresponding Informational Packet for Meat Processors Who Would Like to Participate in the Wisconsin Turkey Donation Program for comprehensive information and required forms for meat processors.

** Participating processors must include a copy of the “Hunter Turkey Donation Log” and “Distribution of Processed Ground Turkey to a Charitable Organization Log” along with their invoice in order to qualify for payment through the Turkey Donation Program. Please make sure these forms are included in their requests.

**Food pantries:** Food pantries must contact counties or their representatives to let them know that they would like to participate in Wisconsin Turkey Donation Program. Counties or their representatives will coordinate which pantries will be picking up meat from specific processors in the county. The food pantry then distributes the frozen ground meat at no charge to needy individuals in Wisconsin.

Please reference the corresponding Informational Packet for Charitable Organizations Who Would Like to Participate in Wisconsin’s Turkey Donation Program for comprehensive information and required forms for food pantries.

**Wisconsin DNR:** The WDNR will coordinate production of a statewide news release promoting the Wisconsin Turkey Donation Program. The WDNR will also promote the program through its Website, Facebook Page, and GovDelivery system which sends email and text message notifications to hunters. Counties or their agents may voluntarily conduct additional outreach.

Please contact Noah Balgooyen, WDNR Administrator of the Turkey Donation Program, at (608) 266-2151, noah.balgooyen@wi.gov, if you have any questions about any aspect of the 2018 Turkey Donation Program.

---

**Reimbursements and Reporting**

**Reimbursement:** For counties that contract WDACP program services to USDA-Wildlife Services, processors will submit invoices directly to USDA-Wildlife Services, and they will arrange for payment with your county for all complete invoices. Processors will be paid within 3-6 weeks.

In all other counties, all processor invoices will come to the county for payment regardless of whether you implement the program directly or work with a non-profit organization. You can choose to have the processors send their invoices directly to you, or have the non-profit organization working with you collect and check invoices for accuracy before mailing a group of them to you for payment. In all cases, the county must pay the processor directly (i.e. processing fees must be paid directly to the individual processor that submitted the invoice). This supports WDNR financial policy that the county WDACP must reimburse vendors directly for their costs.

All invoices need to be checked to confirm the agreed-upon processing fee and to confirm that Hunter Turkey Donation log sheets and Distribution of Processed Turkey Meat to Charitable Organization log sheets are attached confirming the number of donated turkey being invoiced.

Invoices should be paid within 3-6 weeks upon receipt of accurate invoices with attached log sheets. Please emphasize to processors that the reimbursement process may be long, so that they are prepared for this lengthy period before reimbursement. We know that most counties cannot pay an invoice until it is approved at the county board meeting. Please make every attempt to approve invoices at the first opportunity, so that processor invoices can be paid in a timely fashion (within 30 days).
**Reporting:** The department will need a final report of the turkey meat processed and distributed in your county by June 6th for the spring seasons, and by January 11th, 2019 for the fall seasons. For each participating processor in your county, please list the total number of turkeys processed and the total pounds of ground turkey processed. For each participating pantry in your county, please list the total pounds of ground turkey the pantry received from each processor. *Enclosed is a sheet for you to report total donations by processor and by pantry to the WDNR at the end of the year.* Please submit your turkey donation report with your reimbursement request for turkey processing costs.

### COMMONLY ASKED QUESTIONS

**Can Wisconsin Turkey Donation pay for processing fees for turkey not specifically donated to the program?**

The Wisconsin Turkey Donation Program will **not** pay for the processing of:

- Turkeys killed in car-vehicle collisions.
- Turkeys removed by paid contractors.
- Turkeys abandoned by hunters at the processing plant.
- Turkeys harvested in another state.
- Turkeys harvested at game farms.
- Domestic turkeys

**Are turkeys harvested off of agricultural damage or nuisance programs eligible for donation?**

The Wisconsin Turkey Donation Program will pay for the processing of turkeys shot on agriculture damage or nuisance tags between April 14th 2018 and May 31st 2018, and then again from September 15th 2018 until January 8th, 2019, provided the turkey are were harvested by hunters and not through paid contractors. The Turkey Donation Program cannot accept turkeys from any source from dates outside of these two ranges.

**Would we be liable for any problems with tainted or unsafe meat?**

Wisconsin Statutes, s. 895.51, makes food processors, charitable organizations, and those involved in the distribution of donated food immune from liability for injury or death caused by the donated food products. We ask everyone involved (particularly processors, who are the first ones to see the donated turkeys) to do everything possible to make sure that any turkeys of questionable quality are disposed of, and are not processed!

This is also the reason that we must require all processors participating in the program to be licensed by the U.S. Department of Agriculture or the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection. We want to take all possible precautions to ensure that the turkey meat we provide to needy people in the state is safe and wholesome.

**Can taxpayers claim turkey donated to Wisconsin Turkey Donation as a charitable, tax-deductible contribution?**

The best place to find out about this is directly from the Internal Revenue Service. Search their web site for “Charitable Contributions”.

County agrees to participate in the WDACP turkey donation program in 2018 and to administer the program as set forth in the Wisconsin Turkey Donation County Information Packet. The WDNR WDACP agrees to fully reimburse the county for all administrative and turkey processing costs associated with the turkey donation program. This agreement covers both the 2018 spring and fall turkey hunting seasons.

Signed: ___________________________ Date: ______________

Title: _____________________________

State of Wisconsin
Department of Natural Resources
For the Secretary

Signed: ___________________________ Date: ______________

Director, Bureau of Wildlife Management
Wisconsin Turkey Donation 2018

NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION AGREEMENT TO IMPLEMENT WISCONSIN TURKEY DONATION

Name of Organization: _______________________________________________________________
Contact Person: _________________________________________________________________
Street Address: _________________________________________________________________
City, State, ZIP: __________________________________________________________________
Office Phone Number: ________________________________
Other Phone Number: ________________________________
Social Security Number or Tax Identification Number: ________________________________
(Your SSN / TIN will not be released to the public, and is required only if you will be receiving payment from the county.)

Days and hours you prefer to coordinate/receive phone calls concerning Wisconsin Turkey Donation:
Days: ____________________________________ Hours: _____________________________________

The non-profit organization named above, in cooperation with the Cooperating Wildlife Damage Abatement and Claims Program (WDACP) of ____________ County, and (if applicable) the county’s agent, agrees to the following terms:

1. The organization is responsible for the following aspects of Wisconsin Turkey Donation (check all that apply):
   - Contacting, signing a “Turkey Processing Facility Agreement” with, and coordinating activities of participating meat processors in the county.
   - Submitting a complete list of all participating processors (name, complete mailing address, contact person, phone number, and agreed-upon processing fee) to the WDNR WDACP as soon as possible.
   - Contacting and coordinating activities of participating food distribution services/food pantries in the county.
   - Reporting the number of donated turkeys accepted by processors to date by June 6th, 2018 for the spring season, and by January 11th, 2019 for the fall season. The information must be phoned or e-mailed to Noah Balgooyen (608-266-2151, Noah.Balgooyen@wisconsin.gov).
   - Receiving processor invoices, checking all invoices and supporting documents for completeness, and submitting those invoices and supporting documentation to the county WDACP for payment.
   - Other (specify) ________________________________________________________________

2. The organization agrees to conduct necessary record-keeping on data sheets provided with this agreement.

3. The organization understands and agrees that (check one or more of the following):
   - All work is done on a volunteer basis (i.e., no administrative costs can be reimbursed)
   - OR the following administrative costs of the non-profit organization relating specifically to Wisconsin Turkey Donation will be reimbursed through the WDACP (check all that apply):
     - Postage costs (examples: stamps, envelopes)
     - Office supplies (examples: paper, printing)
     - Telephone costs (examples: cost of long distance phone calls)
     - Mileage costs (examples: mileage for trips to turkey processors and food pantries to sign Wisconsin Turkey Donation agreements with them and/or coordinate Wisconsin Turkey Donation activities) **Note that moving processed turkey meat must be done completely on a volunteer basis.**
4. If administrative costs of the non-profit organization are to be reimbursed by the county WDACP, indicate the maximum specified amounts below:

- Total amount: $_______________
- Postage costs: $_______________
- Office supplies: $_______________
- Telephone costs: $_______________
- Mileage costs: $_______________

5. The organization understands that all invoices for costs described above (#3) must be accompanied by original receipts. Invoices without original receipts and required supporting documents cannot be paid.

6. The organization agrees not to sell any of the ground turkey that is donated to the program.

7. If the organization is receiving invoices from turkey processors for processing fees to collect and send to the county WDACP, the organization agrees to submit a total of no more than two invoices per processor per turkey hunting season (spring and fall) to:

   County Organization: _______________________________________________________
   Street address: ______________________________________________________________
   City, State, Zip Code: _________________________________________________________
   Contact Person: ______________________ Phone Number: ______________________

8. The organization understands that all processors and any administrative costs of the organization authorized above will be paid directly from ______________________ County or their agent, with funds ultimately provided by the Wisconsin Wildlife Damage Abatement and Claims Program.

9. Other conditions of this agreement:

   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________

   This agreement will remain in effect until all turkey donated to Wisconsin Turkey Donation in __________ County have been processed and picked up by a charitable organization for distribution to needy people in the area, with the following conditions:

   1. If the organization is receiving payment from the county for administrative costs, a final invoice for administrative costs will be submitted to the county by June 7th for costs incurred during the spring turkey hunt, and by January 15th, 2019 for costs incurred during the fall turkey hunt.

   2. If the organization is collecting invoices from processors for processing fees, all invoices for processing fees will be submitted to the county by June 7th for costs incurred during the spring turkey hunt, and by January 15th, 2019 for costs incurred during the fall turkey hunt.

The following signatories agree to abide by all state statues and codes that regulate this activity and agree to comply with all of the terms outlined in this agreement:

Signature of Director of Non-Profit Organization: ________________________________ Date: ______________

Signature of Representative of County or County’s Agent: __________________________ Date: ______________

Note: The County or its agent will mail a signed copy of this agreement to the non-profit organization within 14 days.
**Wisconsin Turkey Donation 2018**

**Final Report of Donations by Processor & Pantry**

Name of County: ______________________________________________

### Participating Processors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Business</th>
<th>Number of turkeys received</th>
<th>Pounds of ground turkey Processed</th>
<th>Price per turkey</th>
<th>Total Processing Fees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Charitable Organizations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Organization</th>
<th>Pounds of ground turkey received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please submit this report with a county’s reimbursement request to: Wisconsin DNR, Attn: Noah Balgooyen WM/6, P.O. Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921

Thank you for participating in Wisconsin’s Turkey Donation Program!